

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,992	992 12/05/2001		Ritsuko Tanaka	1086.1152	2820	
21171	7590	05/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
STAAS &	HALSEY	Y LLP	RETTA, Y	RETTA, YEHDEGA		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005					
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/001,992	TANAKA ET AL.  Art Unit	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner		
	Yehdega Retta	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dates.</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extantion a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a)  They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the fir	onsideration and/or search (see NO low);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		impliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendment canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	)	Il be entered and an explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is people.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues again that the NEXPO reference does not teach advertisement preparation unit for preparing an advertisement requested by an advertiser. Examiner disagrees. The reference clearly teaches a preparation unit for preparing an advertising requested by advertisers as indicated in the final office action. Applicant also argues that the reference does not teach a database, which registers a supplier for providing a first service. The NEXPO reference teach the companies such as the Marina del Ray transmits ads to more than 50 newspapers, Mission Critical have 30 newspaper clients, GMTI serves 20 Gannett newspapers and 30 others with online Advertising system. These newspaper companies to be registered by the service provide, such as GMIT, is an inherent feature.

Applicant amended the claim to differentiate the users from the advertisers. In this case the users might be customers who subscribe to newspapers, changes the scope of the claim and requires further search and consideration.